

ASX Announcement For Immediate Release 11 May 2021

Chairman's Address and Company Presentation Material 2021 Annual General Meeting

Please find attached to this document a copy of the Chairman's address and the presentation that will be provided by Australis Oil & Gas Limited today at its Annual General Meeting.

This ASX announcement was authorised for release by the Australis Disclosure Committee.

For further information, please contact either:

Ian Lusted Managing Director Australis Oil & Gas Limited +61 8 9220 8700 Graham Dowland Finance Director Australis Oil & Gas Limited +61 8 9220 8700

AUSTRALIS OIL & GAS LIMITED

ABN 34 609 262 937

Level 29, 77 St. George's Terrace, Perth WA 6000, Australia • GPO Box 2548, Perth WA 6831

T +61 (8) 9220 8700 • **F** +61 (8) 9220 8799

www.australisoil.com



Chairman's Address – 2021 Annual General Meeting

Thank you very much to those of you in attendance today at the AGM of Australis Oil & Gas and thank you to those listening and or watching online. I wish you all the best in these times of pandemic difficulties and disruption.

The past year or more has been a difficult one from an oil industry perspective with initially a supply led crash in oil prices being turbo-charged by demand falling off the cliff due to the travel restrictions associated with Covid-19 and the resultant drop in demand for oil.

Whilst internationally we are a long way from back to normal, many countries are experiencing material improvements in their economies and a consequent increase in oil demand. This in turn has generated some better oil pricing. Ian Lusted will talk later in his presentation about how we see the macro scenario moving to meet our long strategy in a manner we believe will deliver value to all shareholders.

As a Board, our primary objective during this past period has been to ensure that we maintain ownership and control of our key asset as this is what we consider will be the driver of future returns. To achieve this, we have significantly reduced costs where we can and our staff at all levels have participated in those measures. We also needed to ensure we met and continue to meet all of our debt covenants and repayment obligations, and this has been done.

We are an operating oil and gas company with production and a very large undeveloped oil position. Accordingly, we have maintained minimum staffing numbers and appropriate expertise. We also must retain the internal capacity to work with potential partners on a technical, financial and corporate basis and meet our corporate and reporting obligations.

I would like to acknowledge the following deliveries by our management during a tough period of time.

- A 100% safety record in the field.
- Positive cashflow for each quarter of 2020 and the first quarter of 2021.
- A 39% reduction in debt last year.
- Independent valuation of our producing reserves at more than US\$50 million.
- The compilation and management of the largest acreage position in the core of the TMS.

Of course, we would prefer that our share price reflected the value of our production as a starting point, but also the inherent value of our currently undeveloped acreage. The reason why I, along with the Board, recently committed to invest more cash into the Company alongside many of you, is that we are confident our strategy and capacity to execute on it will deliver a good result for all shareholders.

In the US we are witnessing the green shoots of a recovery in the oil sector and the confirmation of our consistently repeated theme of the maturing of several of the larger onshore shale oil plays and a looming shortage of quality development drilling inventory for players outside of the few large companies that now control the Permian.

We are witnessing an improved environment for investment, which I should say is improving off of a very low base, in our discussions with third party potential partners. We will report progress with those discussions in a manner consistent with our continuous disclosure obligations and in a manner cognisant of commercial sensitivities.



Our current low share price and refusal to alter strategy towards momentarily fashionable new business ideas and thought bubbles can lead to criticism. We will wear that. It can also lead to challenges from third parties without the capacity to offer funded, logical deals that reward our shareholders. We will resist such approaches.

Our team will continue to work hard to deliver the value we see in our asset base.

Thank you

Jon Stewart Chairman



Important Notice and Disclaimers

This presentation has been prepared by Australis Oil & Gas Limited ABN 34 609 262 937 (ASX: ATS) (Australis).

Australis or ATS means Australis Oil & Gas Limited and, where appropriate, all subsidiaries as at the date of this presentation.

Summary of information: This presentation contains general and background information about Australis' activities current as at the date of the presentation and should not be considered to be comprehensive or to comprise all the information that an investor should consider when making an investment decision. The information is provided in summary form, has not been independently verified, and should not be considered to be comprehensive or complete. The information in this presentation remains subject to change without notice. Australis is not responsible for providing updated information and assumes no responsibility to do so.

Not financial product advice: This presentation is not financial product, investment advice or a recommendation to acquire Australis securities and has been prepared without taking into account the objectives, financial situation or needs of individuals. Before making an investment decision prospective investors should consider the appropriateness of the information having regard to their own objectives, financial situation and needs, and seek legal, taxation and financial advice appropriate to their jurisdiction and circumstances. Australis is not licensed to provide financial product advice in respect of its securities or any other financial products. Australis escurities or any other financial products. Cooling off rights do not apply to the acquisition of Australis securities. Australis assumes that the recipient is capable of making its own independent assessment, without reliance on this document, of the information and any potential investment and will conduct its own investigation.

Disclaimer: Australis and its related bodies corporate and each of their respective directors, agents, officers, employees and advisers expressly disclaim, to the maximum extent permitted by law, all liabilities (however caused, including negligence) in respect of, make no representations regarding, and take no responsibility for, any part of this presentation and make no representation or warranty as to the currency, accuracy, reliability or completeness of any information, statements, opinions, conclusions or representations contained in this presentation. In particular, this presentation does not constitute, and shall not be relied upon as, a promise, representation, warranty or guarantee as to the past, present or the future performance of Australis.

Future performance: This presentation contains certain forward-looking statements and opinion. Generally, words such as "anticipates", "expects", "intends", "plans", "believes", "seeks", "estimates", "potential" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements, opinion and estimates provided in this presentation are based on assumptions and contingencies which are subject to change without notice, as are statements about market and industry trends, which are based on interpretations of current market conditions. Forward-looking statements, including projections, forecasts and estimates, are provided as a general guide only and should not be relied on as an indication or guarantee of future performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which are outside the control of Australis. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance and no representation or warranty is made as to the likelihood of achievement or reasonableness of any forward-looking statements or other forecast.

Non-IFRS Measures: References are made within this presentation to certain financial measures that do not have a standardised meaning prescribed by Australian Accounting Standards and International Financial Reporting Standards (collectively, IFRS). Such measures are neither required by, nor calculated in accordance with IFRS, and therefore are considered Non–IFRS financial measures. Field Netback and EBITDA, as defined within the Glossary, are Non-IFRS financial measures commonly used in the oil and gas industry that Australis believes provide useful information to readers in assessing the financial performance and condition of the Company. Non-IFRS financial measures used by the Company, such as Field Netback and EBITDA, may not be comparable with the calculation of similar measures by other companies and should not be construed as an alternative to other financial measures determined in accordance with IFRS.

Not an offer: This presentation is for information purposes only. This presentation is not, and should not be considered as, an offer or an invitation to acquire securities in Australis or any other financial products and neither this document nor any of its contents will form the basis of any contract or commitment. This presentation is not a prospectus and does not contain all the information which would be required to be contained in a prospectus. Offers of securities in Australis will only be made in places in which, or to persons to whom it would be lawful to make such offers. Neither this presentation nor any of its contents may be reproduced or used for any other purpose without the prior written consent of Australis.

No Distribution in the US: This investor presentation is not an offer of securities for sale in the United States. Securities of Australis are not registered under the US Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "**US Securities Act**") and may not be offered or sold in the United States absent registration or an exemption from registration under the US Securities Act. No public offer of the securities is being made in the United States and the information contained herein does not constitute an offer of securities for sale in the United States.

Monetary values: Unless otherwise stated, all dollar values are in United States Dollars (US\$). The information in this presentation remains subject to change without notice.





Strategy and Timing

Australis Strategy

The corporate strategy has remained consistent since 2014. Only the timing has been influenced by global events.

1. Secure a material position in a quality unconventional oil play

- Reservoir quality key to success
- Low entry cost keeping finding costs to a minimum allows for transactional flexibility to realise value
- Control not simply operatorship, but the ability to control the area
- Flexibility long mineral lease life provides development flexibility and time to secure partners.

In 2014/15 the established oil plays were prohibitively expensive, therefore no material upside.... Australis took a contrarian position with the TMS, but decision based on hard data and not just potential upside.

2. Demonstrate underlying value of assets

- Additional proof of rock productivity
- Demonstration of base case economics
- Consolidation of asset through further active leasing to achieve control target
- Consolidation of available technical, operational and land data to facilitate a seamless entry for a new partner

3. Seek a partner to apply capital and rerate the TMS

- Asset size allows for flexibility whilst retaining strategic goal of finding a larger partner for full field development
- COVID 19 largely suspended acquisition appetite, but eventually may actually prove to be beneficial to strategy

4. Realise value for shareholders

- Industry interest in the play has increased. We intend to pursue that in the interest of all shareholders and not be distracted by noise from competitors with multiple agendas and without adequate funding
- Revaluation of asset base to occur with partnering deployment of capital
- As the asset value moves up the value creation curve, opportunities for monetisation arise
- Patience industry has taken a path of least resistance, i.e. drilling their existing Tier 1, but are now in need of new Tier 1 inventory to maintain production





Tuscaloosa Marine Shale

TMS Core - a unique opportunity

Proven Productivity	 Proven core delineated by drilling and production data, has allowed targeted and low risk accumulation of the acreage position Liquid rich at premium oil pricing (95% oil @ \$5.60/bbl premium to WTI average 2019) Base case well economics established, with significant upside from modern completion design, longer wells, contract leverage and increased activity levels TMS well oil productivity (over 1,000 bbls/d IP30) already exceeds average of more established basins with further improvements expected A low entry (Finding) cost, on par with an exploration play
------------------------	--

		\checkmark Type curve (future well performance) based on existing wells with 5 – 6 years of production history.
Strong well economics with upside		✓ ATS has demonstrated ability to drill wells at a cost of ~\$10.6MM (D, C & T) and \$9.5MM as at 1/1/21 with further identified improvements & infill drilling.
	1	✓ Base case well economics of NPV(10) \$5.0MM and IRR of 32% ^A
		✓ Upside economics of NPV(10) \$11.9MM and IRR of 86% ^B
		 Additional upside from other prospective horizons to which we have rights

Significant development flexibility	 Contiguous operated position and non-competitive environment for further leasing enables multiple development options with a flexible timetable and control on capital profile and pace of development No federal leases Mississippi is a very friendly jurisdiction for O&G operators with supportive regulator Favourable operating regime Large units (1,920 acres)
---	--



- A: Assumes well cost \$9.5MM. Type Curve well productivity and WTI \$55/bbl (see slide 26)
- B: Assumes well cost \$9.0MM, Productivity improvement 20% to Type Curve and WTI \$65/bbl (see slide 26)

TMS Core - Australis in a unique position

✓ Some competition – but lack scale in the delineated core of the play
--

	✓	Reduction in execution risk through the application of technology and acquired knowledge
Execution	✓	ATS has aggregated all available data gained by the previous incumbents through their investment of over \$1B in capital
de-risked		and through the new wells we have drilled
	× .	Remaining risks quantified and addressed following recent ATS program.

	Y		\checkmark	Australi	s provides a unique entry opportunity
	ATS position			•	Entry cost consistent with exploration alternatives
AT			•	•	Large contiguous acreage limits ability of others to aggregate a commercial position within core – generates significant control over future development
				•	Access to all historical records and work products to ensure lessons learned retained
				•	Ability to further scale existing position within identified 'core' area





TMS Asset

Land Productivity Operating Performance Reserves

TMS Core – Substantial acreage position provides scale and control

- Large contiguous land position in the TMS Core with >100,000 net acres (none on federal lands)
- Ideal location services, infrastructure, roads, pipelines etc
- Development flexibility long lease life and favourable field rules
- Significant inventory of approx. 400 net well locations
- Opportunity to grow acreage position within TMS Core
- Third party activity in leasing and well permitting

TMS Project Asset Profile Mississippi and Louisiana, USA							
Net Acres	~107,000 (37,700 HBP)						
Producing Wells	37 operated 17 non-operated						
Net well locations	~400						



■ 2021 ■ 2022 ■ 2023 ■ 2024+ ■ HBP





TMS Core – Tier 1 Basin Productivity

TMS Type Curve well productivity and decline profile outperforms many of the best counties within the four major oil producing basins: the Permian, Eagle Ford, Williston (Bakken) and DJ - Niobrara

24 month cum. Oil Production ('000 bbls) ATS TMS v best counties within major onshore oil US plays



Average Cum Oil Production v Months on Production Best Performing County in Each Oil Play



Source: "The Major Tight Oil Basins" from ShaleProfile Insights May 27 2020. ATS TMS 15 well average has been interposed onto the data.

2020 Operational Performance

Australis managed production operations and utilised its strong hedge book to maximise cashflows during the oil price turmoil of 2020



- Production curtailed during April, May and June 2020. Hedge revenue continued whilst only fixed operating costs incurred during peak curtailment, led to an operating profit and effective netbacks of \$63.81/bbl, despite oil sales price of \$26.20/bbl.
- Hedging program continues to focus on ensuring downside protection



2020 Operating & Financial Results

Disciplined capital management and robust TMS asset performance meant positive cash earnings despite challenging market conditions

- Strong Field Netback^A of \$24/bbl (NRI and excluding hedging) provided solid financial base for ATS
 - Operating costs reduced by 45% on an absolute basis and 13% on a per/bbl basis over 2019^B
 - Workover frequency reduced by 66% since becoming operator in 2017^c
 - G&A costs reduced by 54% (vs 2019)
 - Hedge program for 2020 delivered \$4.7 million or an average achieved sales price more than 22% above actual market prices in the field -\$51.76/bbl
- Positive EBITDA^A each quarter full year total \$7.0m^D
- Repaid \$13m^E in debt in 2020 (a 39% reduction from YE 2019 balance) and net debt position
- Debt matures in Nov 2023 with minimum quarterly repayments of \$1 million; interest rate Libor + 6%; full covenant compliance

ATS 2020 Quarterly Results						
	Q1 (Mbbls)	Q2 (Mbbls)	Q3 (Mbbls)	Q4 (Mbbls)	Total (Mbbls)	
Sales Volumes (WI)	170	66	143	125	505	
	(US\$MM)	(US\$MM)	(US\$MM)	(US\$MM)	(US\$MM)	
WI Sales Revenue	9.2	4.4	6.6	5.9	26.1	
Field Netback ^{A,}	5.0	3.4	3.5	2.7	14.6	
EBITDA ^{A,D}	2.8	1.5	1.9	0.8	7.0	
Facility Interest	0.9	0.4	0.4	0.4	2.1	
Ending Debt Balance	33	23	23	20	20	
Ending Cash Balance	13.9	4.4	6.8	4.7	4.7	

2020 Earnings before tax, depreciation and amortisation US\$4.9m



A – Field Netback and EBITDA are non IFRS
B – See slide 28 for more detail
C – See slide 29 for more detail
D – Includes impact of hedging
E – Debt can be repaid at any time without additional cost

TMS Core - Significant oil reserve and resource

Material mid case recoverable estimate of 170 million bbls independently verified (Ryder Scott LP)¹

Australis TMS Reserves and Resources – as at 31 December 2020



- PDP Reserves of 3.7MMbbls¹; an increase over YE2019³, due primarily to lower achieved operating costs
- PDP NPV(10) of ~\$47 million¹, at a flat \$47.02 oil price, increases to \$59.6 million² based on WTI strip at 21 April 2021.
- Undeveloped reserves based on very modest development in next 5 years of 58 gross (40 WI) wells on only 10,400 net acres, only 10% of overall leasehold position
- Remaining net undeveloped leasehold acreage allocated to contingent resource





Industry Update



Evolution and impact of the US Shale Industry

US unconventional growth has heavily influenced global oil markets since 2007



- US oil production grew rapidly during this period to make them the largest producer in the world at over 14 million bbls per day and shale alone as the 4th largest contributor to oil production in the world.
- Practically all growth came from unconventional developments
- Three plays generated almost 85% of the unconventional contribution in 2020: the Permian, Eagle Ford and Bakken
- Eagle Ford and Bakken are now mature were already plateauing by early 2020
- In 2019 the Permian accounted for 41% of unconventional oil production, in 2021 this is forecast to be 56%



Source: EIA Drilling Productivity Report February 2021

Life Cycle of a Shale Play



Eagle Ford Shale – Delineation, Improvement, Growth then Plateau





Source: Texas Railroad Commission and Shale Profile



Consolidation Occurring in the Permian

Permian Basin – Still plenty of Tier 1 inventory but industry consolidation limits entry opportunities for those companies without exposure

Six material corporate deals in the Permian from mid 2H 2020 – over 1.2 million acres acquired for US\$49 billion

Date	Buyer	Seller	Transaction Type	Value (US\$B)	Existing Basin exposure	Permian Acres Purchased	Combined Permian Acres	Acreage Uplift
Jul-20	Chevron	Noble	Corp	\$13	Permian, DJ, others	92,000	1,700,000	6%
Sep-20	Devon	WPX ^A	Corp	\$5.6	Permian, Bakken	150,000	400,000	60%
Oct-20	ConocoPhillips	Concho	Corp	\$13.3	Permian	550,000	700,000	367%
Oct-20	Pioneer	Parsley ^A	Corp	\$7.6	Permian	250,000	930,000	37%
Dec-20	Diamondback	QEP & Guidon	Corp	\$3	Permian	81,564	429,000	23%
Apr-21	Pioneer	Double Point	Corp	\$6.4	Permian	97,000	1,027,000	10%
	Total			\$49		1,220,564	4,256,000	40%

- Public disclosure from these six transactions indicate a significant number of additional companies were also exploring consolidation opportunities.
- Consistent themes supporting the acquisitions include
 - Increasing Tier-1 assets and drilling inventory to satisfy pressure for accelerating ROC vs. reinvestment/recycle
 - Increasing size and scale
 - Cost synergies reducing operating and overhead costs
- By Jan 2021, following consolidation, the 10 largest acreage holders had amassed 62% of the designated high quality position within the 22 million acre greater Permian Basin.
- Opportunities to acquire a meaningful position have become more difficult in the Permian basin due to high cost and availability
- Australis believes more parties requiring Tier-1 inventory will have to look to non-Permian opportunities

"the best companies have been picked off in the past few weeks" "can't see much more happening" (Scott Sheffield, CEO Pioneer Natural Resources on acquisition of Parsley Energy, 20 October 2020)



A: See slide 30 for the case histories of these two companies which acted as acquirers and consolidators in Q1 2020.

Industry oil supply discipline

Industry discipline has seen oil price recovery but not a resultant increase in activity, capex and return to production growth out of the US.



Sourced Goldman Sachs – GSAM market review & outlook – April 2021

- Prior to COVID-19, the US shale rig count had already dropped by 20% from YE18 peaks.
- OPEC+ discipline has kept production volumes below pre-pandemic rates
- Despite oil price recovery from Q4 2020 driven by Opec and economic outlook, rig count remains at ~50% of pre COVID levels. Financial discipline



Impact of supply discipline

Upstream Operators are maintaining conservative investment programs despite rising commodity prices

Bullish Brent Crude Price Expectations								
	Q2 2021 Q3 2021 Q4 2021 FY 2020 FY 2022							
Goldman Sachs (GIR)	\$75	\$80	\$75	\$73	\$75			
Citigroup	\$70	\$74	\$70	\$69	\$59			
J.P. Morgan	\$67	\$73	\$74	\$75	\$69			
Barclays	\$64	\$67	\$71	\$66	\$71			
Average	\$69	\$74	\$73	\$71	\$69			

Sourced Goldman Sachs – GSAM market review & outlook – April 2021

 The continued discipline being shown globally against a backdrop of projected rising demand has shifted consensus outlook over the next 18 – 24 months.



E&P Historical Capital Spending and Guidance

Historical and Projected E&P Capital Spending by 39 Upstream company Peer Group 2014-21E. Source: Oil & Gas Financial Analytics, LLC & RBN Energy 18 April 2021 Cash generation will be substantial but debt reduction is a priority for many companies



Source: Wood Mackenzie *Models assume flat production 2021-2025, *COP, DVN, PXD numbers are pre-acquisition

Source: US tight oil – the investment dilemma, Will a cash flow bonanza lead to more drilling? Woodmac, 05 March 2021



Australis Strategy and Timing

Valuation is always a product of asset quality and scarcity......empirical data supports TMS Core quality and the US Shale evolution is now driving the latter.

- Prior to COVID-19, the US shale industry was going through a structural shift with diminishing Tier 1 inventory locations due to asset maturity and limited access to capital
- Shareholder pressure grew for return of capital over recycling, the result being that shale oil production growth was already slowing prior to the COVID pandemic
- Options for acquiring Tier 1 inventory in developed plays are limited and opportunities in the biggest remaining basin, the Permian, have decreased due to ongoing consolidation
- With the US heavily explored over the last 14 years the TMS Core is one of the only remaining delineated but undeveloped shale plays with Tier 1 oil productivity
- Australis holds a controlling position and is the largest operator within the TMS Core. The Company is also the custodian of practically all the historical data on the play. This is very valuable. Others will argue "me too" as usual regarding core acreage and their geological modelling, but hard production data is irrefutable
- The Company is positioned to drive value for this overlooked and undervalued asset in the evolving post COVID market having a Board and management experienced in value delivery for all shareholders
- Investing in Australis at current prices is an opportunity to acquire an interest in this asset at entry level / exploration level cost basis, a rare opportunity for a play with proven production we trade at an enterprise value below the value of the existing production^A, i.e. no value for the recoverable bbls in the ground. Our type curve well has an NPV(10) of US\$10/bbl at WTI US\$55/bbl^B



Value allocation assumes an EV of US\$52m, an NPV(10) of PDP at US\$60m² and 170 MMbbls¹ mid case recoverable (2P + 2C)

[:] This is the economics of a single well (see Slide 26), care should be taken if extrapolating across 400 net locations due to the likely scheduling of those wells and associated discount effect.

Summary

Experienced team and strategy will ultimately drive shareholder returns.

TMS Asset Quality

- Comparable productivity to best areas in the USA. Long production history from 85 wells removes guess work
- Product stream 95% oil and a light sweet crude demands a premium to WTI
- ATS has shown base case well economics, with all other plays having shown substantial improvement during development

Shale Industry Transformation

The broader shale industry is facing a structural transformation due to the following:

- Diminishing Tier 1 inventory locations
- Limited opportunity for exploration or new field developments
- Remaining growth play, Permian, tightly held



Strategic Advantages of TMS

- Large resource of oil
- Proximity to infrastructure
- Known well spacing with >6 year production history
- Supportive legislative environment with no federal leases
- Modest and flexible capital requirements
- Potential for acreage growth

Value Creation

Having managed and protected the asset during the turmoil of 2020, Australis is seeking a partner entry to re-rate the TMS asset valuation through development of the reserve and resource base.





Additional Information



Australis Corporate Snapshot

Well positioned after 2020 with experienced and invested Management Team

Key ATS Financial Statistics for FY 2020 & Q1	Board & Management – Ex Aurora Founders & Management				ment	
Average Sales (WI)	~1,380 bbl/day	Jon Ste	wart	Non-Executive Chairman		
Average Sales Price (inc. hedging)	US\$51.76/bbl	lan Lus	ted	Managin	g Director	
Revenue (WI) (inc. hedging)	US\$26.1 million	Grahan	n Dowland	Finance	Director	
EBITDA	US\$7.0 million	Alan W	atson	Non-Exe	c Director	
Interest costs	erest costs US\$2.1 million		cudamore	Non-Exec Director		
Cash Balance (31 March 2021) ^A	US\$10.9 million	Darren Wasylucha		Chief Co	Chief Corporate Officer	
Total Debt Balance (31 March 2021)	US\$19 million	Julie Foster		VP Finan	VP Finance & Company Secretary	
Share Capitalisation (as at 31 March 2021)			Hedge	Book – as at 3	1 March 2021	
Ordinary shares issued and outstanding ^A Australian Securities Exchange symbol	~ 1,198 million ATS		Period	Volume Hedged (mbbls)	AVG. WTI Floor Price (\$/bbl)	
Market capitalisation	A\$60 million		2021	203	\$46	
Board & Management Ownership	~11%		2022	63	\$49	
			2023	4	\$50	

A: The Company completed Tranche 1 of Placement raising A\$7.5 million in early March 2021 and an SPP to raise A\$2 million at the end of March. Tranche 2, the Directors commitment to the 15% placement, of A\$0.675 million will settle following approval by shareholders at the AGM in May 2021.



Why TMS remains an undeveloped Tier 1 oil shale play

Current status of TMS core a result of the sequence of local activity and reservoir quality + global oil markets

- TMS is on trend with the Eagle Ford Basin in Texas and in 2010 it's exploration was the logical next step for the industry
- Chasing the trend, operators drilled 91 horizontal wells from 2010 to 2019 and have delineated the relatively small core area
- Performance from early wells drilled before 2012 was variable and unusually binary – based on whether in or outside of the core area
- Early entrants, operators such as EOG and Devon, built big positions outside core, drilled wells with poor results and exited
- Wells drilled in 2012 2014 delineated the core: those inside demonstrated consistently high oil productivity and downward trending well costs as operational challenges were resolved.
- Best results in the core were from Encana drilling program started in 2014, but these were not publicised while they built their lease position.
- Oil price drop in 2014/2015 stopped all activity in play, freezing both development, and public perception, of TMS
- Australis built a core-focused position 2017 2018 through acquisitions and leasing and acquired historical data – including Encana technical and production data, but information on TMS core results remained relatively unknown in US.



- With the result of its 2018/2019 well program, Australis further confirmed productivity and comparable economics to more established plays, demonstrating the underlying value of TMS core
- Recent third-party operator leasing and drilling prep activity will increase interest in the play.



Single Well TMS Type Curve

LIS

TMS Type Curve is a simple history match to averaged data from 15 ATS operated wells drilled in 2014



Type Curve	Well EUR	Basis
TMS Type Curve	656 Mboe	History match average of the 15 wells spudded by Encana in 2014 (~7,200 ft stimulated lateral)
TMS Productivity Upside	787 Mboe	20% uplift of the TMS Type Curve which is less than the industry average improvement in well performance (normalised) since 2014



Large Well Inventory with Attractive Economics

Each of the ~400 net well locations has a conservative base-case NPV(10) of \$5.0 million @ WTI \$55/bbl

Single Well Economics NPV10^{A,B} (US\$MM)



TMS Type Curve: WTI @ 20% Productivity Well Cost Decrease to Total WTI @ \$65/bbl Total \$55/bbl \$9.5MM/well Increase \$9MM/well



A. Assumes realised net LLS premium of \$2 over WTI and is pre-tax

B. Well costs are based on D, C & T

Strong Field Netbacks in TMS Core Driven by Multiple Factors

Tier 1 oil well productivity in the TMS Core

- TMS Type Curve based on average of 2014 ATS well performance and consistent with Ryder Scott 2P case
- Australis TMS wells drilled in 2018/2019 performing at or above 2014 ATS TMS wells, with IP30 > 1,000 bbls/d for full length laterals
- These wells produce 216 mbbls in first 24 months performance that is on par or better than the best areas in the Permian, Bakken, DJ Basin and Eagle Ford
- TMS production is liquids rich at premium pricing
 - >95% oil with 39-41 degree API
 - Achieves LLS-type premium over WTI (2019 average ~\$5.60 and 2021 YTD average: ~\$2.01)
- Competitive Opex for oil wells
 - Artificial lift a combination of jet pumps and long stroke rod pumps
 - Low transport costs due to proximity to existing infrastructure and multiple nearby refining markets
 - Access to and capacity for water, SWD, roads and power
- Low well royalty rate (~20% average)
- Contiguous operated position enables efficient manufacturing approach to development with full control over infrastructure, drilling locations and pace of drilling



EUR (30 Years) – based on average historical performance			
Gas	0.16	Bcf	
Oil/Condensate	610	Mbbl	
NGLs	20	Mbbl	
EUR/well	656	Mboe	

Decline curve based on history match to average of the 15 2014 ATS wells



TMS Production Cost Reductions

ATS has lowered production costs in all three categories: fixed, variable, and workover

- Operating costs in the TMS are an integral contributor to the value proposition
- Over the last 3 years ATS has reduced production costs through several initiatives
- A comparison of the average monthly costs in 2020 vs 2019 showed the following reductions
 - Fixed Costs: 44%
 - Variable Costs: 28%
 - Workovers: 63%





Well Intervention Reduction



The Company has focused on reducing workover frequency, which is a major contributor to production costs

- Australis has improved completion life and reduced workover costs since becoming operator in 2017, achieving a 66% reduction in workover frequency, with the impact on associated costs. Changes made include
 - Production string optimisation
 - Chemical treatment improvements
 - Installation of advanced surveillance and automated systems
 - Improved rod design



Case Study – Delaware Basin vs TMS

Two recent 'Tier 1' transactions highlight the comparative value of the TMS ^{6,7}

WPX acquires Felix Energy for US\$2.5 B (cash & stock) – December 2019

 58,500 net acres, 60,000 boe/d production (70% oil), 190 wells drilled, 1,500 gross locations. At \$30k/boe/d equates to \$12,000/acre

Parsley acquires Jagged Peak Energy for US\$2.3 B (stock) – October 2019

• 78,000 net acres, 38,300 boe/d production (76% oil). At \$30k/boe/d equates to \$6,500/acre

Productivity Comparison with Australis TMS well performance	e 12 month Cum bbl oil	Lat Length ft	bbl/ft	Net (post royalties) bbl/ft
Wells highlighted by the Acquirer				
Felix Average – 21 Wells	233,619	9,964	23.5	17.6
Jagged Peak Average – 35 Wells	193,400	8,658	22.3	16.8
Australis TMS Wells				
TMS Type Curve 15 Wells (Encana 2014 wells)	153,356	7,254	21.1	16.9
Stewart 30H-1 Well	188,754	6,845	27.6	22.1
Taylor 27H-1 Well	136,508	6,555	20.8	16.6

Comparison of key parameters - net oil production, water cut, cost and achieved price

- Average Felix wells 17.6 bbl/ft, Jagged Peak 16.8 bbl/ft and TMS Type Curve 16.9 bbl/ft
- Jagged Peak well cost (2018) \$1,500/hz ft; Stewart \$1,519/hz ft and Taylor \$1,632/hz ft
- Jagged Peak wells average water production 61.6 bbl/ft and TMS Type Curve 10.5 bbl/ft
- Achieved oil price Q3 2019 Jagged Peak \$53.55/bbl, ATS \$59.60

Subsequent to these transactions, both acquirers have now been consolidated themselves – see slide 17



Footnotes

- 1. All estimates and risk factors taken from Ryder Scott, report prepared as at 31 December 2020 and generated for the Australis concessions to SPE standards. See ASX announcement released on 5 February 2021 titled "Reserves and Resources Update Year End 2020". Australis is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the referenced announcement and all the material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the original announcement continue to apply and have not materially changed. Ryder Scott generated their independent reserve and contingent resource estimates using a deterministic method which is based on a qualitative assessment of relative uncertainty using consistent interpretation guidelines. The independent engineers using a deterministic incremental (risk based) approach estimate the quantities at each level of uncertainty discretely and separately.
- 2. Updated PDP NPV(10) of US\$59.6 million uses the PhDWin model created by Ryder Scott for the PDP year end 2020 estimates¹ with an updated oil price assumption using the forward strip on 20 April 2021 (2021 US\$60.71/bbl; 2022 US\$57.65/bbl; 2023 US\$54.45/bbl; 2024 US\$52.53/bbl and 2025+ US\$51.56/bbl) with an effective date 1 May 2021. All other assumptions on production profiles and operating cost were retained as per Ryder Scott model inputs and Australis is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects them.
- 3. Estimates from the independent Ryder Scott report, effective 31 December 2019. The report was prepared in accordance with the definitions and disclosure guidelines contained in the Society of Petroleum Engineers (SPE), World Petroleum Council (WPC), American Association of Petroleum Geologists (AAPG), and Society of Petroleum Evaluation Engineers (SPEE) Petroleum Resources Management (SPE-PRMS) as revised in June 2018. Ryder Scott generated their independent reserve and contingent resource estimates using deterministic methods.
- 4. Based on public data including reserve announcements and annual reports from ASX Peer Companies with oil weighted portfolios.
- 5. Oil equivalent volumes are expressed in thousands of barrels of oil equivalent (Mboe), determined using the ratio of 6 Mscf of gas to 1 bbl of oil
- 6. Analysis of data sourced from WPX Energy Investor Presentation "Acquisition of Felix Energy" 16 December 2019 & Texas Railroad Commission completion reports
- 7. Analysis of data sourced from Parsley Energy Investor Presentation "Acquisition of Jagged Peak Energy" 14 October 2019, Texas Railroad Commission completion reports, ShaleProfile.com & Jagged Peak Energy Q3 report.



Glossary

Jnit	Measure	Unit	Measure	
В	Prefix - Billions	bbl	Barrel of oil	
MM or mm	Prefix - Millions	boe	Barrel of oil equivalent (1bbl = 6 mscf)	
M or m	Prefix - Thousands	scf	Standard cubic foot of gas	
/d	Suffix - per day	Bcf	Billion standard cubic foot of gas	
Abbreviation	Description			
TMS	Tuscaloosa Marine Sh	ale		
TMS Core		The Australis designated productive core area of the TMS delineated by production history		
WI	Working Interest			
С	Contingent Resources	– 1C/2C/3C – low/most likely/h	igh	
NRI	Net Revenue Interest	Net Revenue Interest (after royalty)		
Net	Working Interest after	Working Interest after deduction of Royalty Interests		
NPV (10)	Net Present Value (dis	Net Present Value (discount rate), before income tax		
НВР	Held by Production (le	Held by Production (lease obligations met)		
EUR	Estimated Ultimate Re	Estimated Ultimate Recovery per well		
WTI	West Texas Intermedi	West Texas Intermediate Oil Benchmark Price		
LLS	Louisiana Light Sweet	Louisiana Light Sweet Oil Benchmark Price		
Opex	Operating Costs			
Capex	Capital Costs			
PDP	Proved Developed Pro	Proved Developed Producing		
PUD	Proved Undeveloped	Proved Undeveloped Producing		
2P	Proved plus Probable	Proved plus Probable Reserves		
3P	Proved plus Probable	Proved plus Probable plus Possible Reserves		
D, C & T	Drilling, Completion, 1	Drilling, Completion, Tie In and Artificial Lift		
G&A	General & Administra	General & Administrative		
KMP	Key Management Per			
Royalty Interest	or Royalty Interest in a leasehold area	l area providing the holder with t	the right to receive a share of production associated with the leasehold	
Field Netback		Oil and gas sales net of royalties, production and state taxes, inventory movements, field based production expenses, hedgi gains or losses but excludes depletion and depreciation.		
EBITDA	Net loss / profit for the impairment provision	Net loss / profit for the period before income tax expense or benefit, finance costs, depreciation, depletion, amortisation and		
Net Acres		Working Interest before deduction of Royalty Interests		
IP24		The peak oil production rate over 24 hours of production		
TMS Type Curve		The history matched production performance of 15 wells drilled in the TMS by Encana in 2014. Corresponds to an average treated horizontal length of 7,200ft. Refer to the Appendix of the Australis Corporate Presentation		
IDP		Initial drilling program of 6 wells in the TMS by Australis commencing late 2018		
IP30		The average oil production rate over the first 30 days of production		
IRR	Internal Rate of Return			